

# EFFECT OF A MIXTURE OF HERBS (ESTRAL) ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF SOWS

Voortman Marielle, Chantziaras Ilias, Ferket Philippe, Sonalio Karina, Maes Dominiek





- 1. Introduction
- 2. Materials and methods
  - 3.1. Experimental design
  - 3.2. Parameters for comparison
- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusion



## INTRODUCTION

- Suboptimal reproductive performance in many sow farms → huge economic losses for the farmer (Maes 2009)
- Good estrus post-weaning, pregnancy rate, and litter size → most important
- Possible measures:
  - improvement of management, housing and nutrition  $\rightarrow$  not always possible / sufficient
  - Hormonal treatment  $\rightarrow$  not systematically, risks (e.g. COF)





## <u>AIM</u>

### To investigate the effect of a mixture of herbs provided once to gilts and sows before weaning on estrus and reproductive performance





## STUDY FARM

- One farm
- Free of PRRS virus, A. pleuropneumoniae and M. hyopneumoniae
- High level of management and biosecurity
- High reproductive performance
- Size: 200 sows (Hypor)
- 5-week system
- Weaning at 24 days
- Two teaser boars
- One week after insemination  $\rightarrow$  evaluation of body condition, group housing (feeding station)
- One week before expected farrowing  $\rightarrow$  farrowing house



- 50 sows per weaning group
- 6 successive sow batches (300 in total)
- At weaning: stratified randomization (gilts, parity 1, 2, 3 and >3) into treatment and control group
- Treatment:
  - Sows: 1x 50 g/sow in the morning the day before weaning
  - Gilts: 1x 50 g/ gilt in the morning the day after the last altrenogest treatment
- Control: normal feeding
- All other aspects (management, housing, feeding): treatment and control group similar







Ingredients of the herb mixture (Estral ®)

- Vitex Agnus Castus: contains flavonoids vitexin and casticin)
- Salvia Officinalis
- Thymus Vulgaris \_\_\_\_

Once via top dressing





## PARAMETERS OF COMPARISON

### Estrus

- % of sows showing estrus
- WEI
- Number of inseminations per estrus

### Pregnancy

- Pregnancy rate
- Pregnancy duration

### Litter data

- Total born, liveborn, stillborn, mummified
- % of sows with stillborn and mummified pigs

Piglet weight 24h after birth



## **DATA ANALYSIS**

Parameter	Model
Estrus, pregnancy, and litter performance	Generalized linear mod - treatment as the inder - batch and parity as co
Gestation length	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA



del, with: pendent variable ovariates

## **RESULTS: ESTRUS AND PREGNANCY**

Parameter	С
% of sows in estrus post-weaning	98.1
Weaning-to-estrus interval (hours)	113.1
Number of inseminations per estrus	2.4
Pregnancy rate (%)	93.2
Gestation length (days)	115.7



Т	p-value
98.0	0.899
112.9	0.723
2.3	0.387
97.4	0.072
115.4	0.036

## **RESULTS: LITTER DATA**

Parameter	C
% of stillborn pigs	7.3
% of sows with stillborn pigs	42.9
% of mummified pigs	2.7
% of sows with mummified pigs	66.2
Total born pigs per litter	16.5
Liveborn pigs per litter	15.1
Litter weight 24h post-partum (kg)	21.1





Т	p-value
7.1	0.958
41.2	0.756
2.8	0.961
64.1	0.699
16.7	0.651
15.3	0.605
21.3	0.663

## **DISCUSSION**

- Most parameters numerically better in treatment group
- Gestation length significantly shorter -0.3 days (7.2 h) (P<0.05)
- Pregnancy rate +4.2% (93,2% vs 97,4%) (P=0.072)



### oup 2 h) (P<0.05) 2)



- Treatment: 1x, easily applicable, easy to implement in daily management
- Smell / taste of the product
- Only one farm with good reproductive performance  $\rightarrow$  not much room for improvement
- Further research: more farms, farms with lower reproductive performance, effects of other doses of the product

